

PROTOCOL DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY

Protocol: NCCN Vital Signs Monitoring of Large Lowland Lake Water Quality

Parks where protocol may be implemented: The protocols will be immediately implemented at Lake Crescent within OLYM. Further implementation may proceed at NOCA.

Potential NCCN Large Lowland Lake Population

| NOCA | Elevation(m) | Area (ha) | Depth (m) |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| Chelan | | 13,500 | 433 |
| Ross | 487 | 4,726 | 155 |
| Diablo | 367 | 368 | 97 |
| OLYM | | | |
| Ozette | 9 | 2075 | 190 |
| Crescent | 177 | 3151 | 216 |

Justification:

Lake water quality was ranked 7th as a potential vital sign in the NCCN. While limited in number, large lowland lakes are key aquatic resources in the NCCN parks where they occur. These lakes are susceptible to a wide range of anthropogenic impacts including eutrophication, pollution, shoreline development, hydrological manipulation, exotic species introduction, and global climate change. Because each lake has unique features, a model-based design is advocated rather than an inferential one. For example, Lake Crescent (OLYM) is a deep, oligotrophic lake renowned for its water clarity and supports 2 endemic fish species. Lake Ozette (OLYM) is the 3rd largest lake in Washington State, is a highly humic mesotrophic lake that supports a federally listed (threatened) sockeye salmon stock. And Lake Chelan (NOCA) is the 3rd deepest lake in the U.S.

Monitoring Questions & Objectives:

Large Lake Step-Down Framework

- NCCN Water
 - Streams, Large Rivers, Montane lakes & Ponds
 - Large Lowland Lakes
 - Water Column
 - Physical/Chemical
 - N, P, pH, DO, Ions
 - T, SpCond, Secchi,
 - Biological
 - Zooplankton
 - Primary productivity (Chla)
 - Benthos
 - Bathymetry
 - Littoral
 - Littoral habitat
 - LWD distribution

Monitoring Questions Associated with Step-down Framework

- What is the status and trend of water quality in NCCN?
 - o What is the status and trend of large lowland lake water quality in NCCN?
 - What are the temporal and spatial trends in physical/chemical characteristics of the lake water column (pelagic zone)? (*see attached table for physical/chemical parameters and sampling frequency*)
 - What are the temporal and spatial trends in the biological characteristics of the lake water column (pelagic zone)? (*see attached table for biological parameters and sampling frequency*)
 - What are the long-term trends in zooplankton species composition and abundance?
 - What is the natural level of variation in zooplankton species composition and abundance?
 - What are the long-term spatial and temporal trends in lake primary productivity?
 - What is the Bathymetry of a lake?
 - What is the current distribution of littoral habitats in a lake and what is the long-term change in this distribution?
 - What is the current distribution of LWD in a lake and what is the long-term change in this distribution?

Monitoring Objectives:

1. Determine seasonal and inter-annual changes in the horizontal and vertical distribution of physical/chemical characteristics of the lake water column. **Justification:** *The spatial and temporal distribution of physical/chemical water column characteristics respond to a variety of system stressors, from point-source eutrophication to global climate change. Depending upon the type of stressor and basin morphology of the lake, different sections of the lake may be differentially affected. Understanding how these parameters change can provide park managers insight into potential causes, stimulate research to positively identify causes, and ultimately lead to beneficial management activities..*
2. Determine seasonal and inter-annual trends in zooplankton species composition, abundance, and distribution. **Justification:** *Zooplankton communities respond to changes in lake trophic structure, pollution, and climate change. Understanding how zooplankton communities change over time can lead to better interpretation of changes in physical/chemical parameters.*
3. Obtain an accurate bathymetric map of a lake. **Justification:** *The number of water column sampling stations per lake is dependent upon the number and size of sub-basins. Separated deep sub-basins may have different physical/chemical and biological dynamics. Knowing the basin morphology of a lake is crucial to developing an appropriate sampling design.*
4. Determine the distribution of littoral habitat types via periodic inventories to determine extent of shoreline modification. **Justification:** *Lake water quality and trophic structure can be affected by shoreline modification. Littoral habitat provides a buffer to terrestrial run-off, along with breeding and nursery habitat for key biota. Knowing the distribution of littoral habitat types and how they are changing over time will lead to more informed management decisions that directly affect lake water quality.*

5. Determine the distribution of LWD on the lake periphery. **Justification:** *LWD provides key habitat for lake biota, including fish, that directly affect water quality. Knowing the current distribution of LWD and how it changes over time will inform management decisions that directly affect important lake biota and lake trophic structure.*

Basic Approach:

Because of the limited number of NCCN large lowland lakes and their widely varied characteristics the proposed monitoring design is a model-based rather than an inferential design. Within a lake, all significant basins and sub-basins will be sampled so a probabilistic design is not required to make lake-wide inferences.

The proposed large lowland lake protocol consists of two components, (1) Regular water column physical/chemical and biological monitoring, and (2) Periodic inventorying of littoral habitat and LWD. Details related to sampling design, frequency and parameters are contained in the Table 1 below.

Regular water column monitoring will be conducted at fixed sampling stations located by GPS. The number of stations will be dependent upon the lake basin morphometry. Generally there will be a station in each major sub-basin, or major section of a sub-basin if sub-basins are extensive. Standard physical/chemical sampling will be conducted by taking a vertical profile of the water column with a multi-probe data sonde (e.g. YSI, Hydrolab). Additional chemical sampling will be conducted quarterly by taking epilimnetic, metalimnetic, and hypolimnetic water samples that will be shipped to an analytic laboratory for nutrient and ion analysis. See attached table for specific measurement parameters and sampling frequency.

Zooplankton communities will be sampled taking a vertically integrated sample of the water column during the day from a depth of 1.5 times the average annual secchi depth. This depth will ensure adequate sampling of the euphotic zone. Phytoplankton biomass will be estimated via chlorophyll-a concentration profiles of the water column.

Periodic inventory of lake physical habitat characteristics also will be done. A bathymetric survey resulting in an accurate map will be conducted if such a map doesn't already exist. Once every decade littoral habitats will be boat-surveyed. Once every 5 years the distribution of large woody debris will be mapped.

A preliminary schedule of project-related activities is outlined in Table 2.

Table 1: Vital Signs Monitoring Design for determining the ecological condition of large lowland lakes

Target Population - Lakes OLYM, NOCA: Greater than 50 ha surface area, with boat launch for sampling platform

Two survey types: (1) Regular monitoring, (2) Periodic inventories

Orange denotes WRD required core parameters

Core column denotes striped-down core monitoring program

| INDICATORS | C o r e | Survey Type | | Sampling | | Method | Inference | Response Variables |
|------------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------|---------------------|--------------------|--|-----------|--|
| | | Reg Mon | Per Inv | # Stations per lake | Sampling Frequency | | | |
| Biological | | | | | | | | |
| Zooplankton | * | M | | 2 | monthly | vertically integrated 60µm net. 2x mean Secchi | Lake | Species diversity, abundance, timing |
| Chlorophyll a | * | M | | all | monthly | Vetically integrated: Data sonde fluorometry | Lake | Means etc., time series |
| Physical | | | | | | | | |
| Specific Conductivity | * | m | | all | monthly | Vertically intergrated: Data sonde | Lake | Means etc., time series |
| Temperature | * | m | | all | monthly | Secchi disk | Lake | |
| Water Clarity (Secchi depth) | * | m | | all | monthly | Pressure transducer/ Staff gauge | Lake | |
| Lake Level | * | m | | | continuous/weekly | Sonar/GPS | Lake | |
| Bathymetry | | | i | | once | Boat based survey/ Hi-res aerial photo | Lake | % cover |
| Littoral habitat | | | i | | decadal | | Lake | % cover |
| LWD distribution | | | | | every 5 yrs | | Lake | |
| Chemical | | | | | | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | * | m | | all | monthly | Vertically integrated: Data sonde | Lake | Means and stnd dev, Exceedance of criteria |
| pH | * | m | | all | | | | |
| Turbidity | * | m | | all | | | | |
| Nutrients | | m | | | monthly/quarterly | | | Means, etc., Exceedance of criteria |
| -Ammonia | * | | | all | quarterly | Laboratory water sample | Lake | Means and stnd dev |
| -Nitrate | * | | | all | | | | |
| -Total Kjeihdahl Nitrogen | | | | 2 | | | | |
| -Total Phosphorus | * | | | 2 | | | | |
| -Total Dislvd Phosphorous | | | | 2 | | | | |
| -Orthophosphate | | | | 2 | | | | |
| Anions and Cations | | m | | 2 | | | | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | | m | | 2 | | | | |

Table 2: Sequence of events for monitoring-related sampling activities

| Month | Jan | | Feb | | Mar | | Apr | | May | | Jun | | Jul | | Aug | | Sep | | Oct | | Nov | | Dec | |
|---------------------------|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|
| Week | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Hiring | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Training | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Quarterly Data Collection | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Montly Data Collection | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Entry | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| QA/QC | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reporting | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Records Mgmt | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Prinicpal Investigator/NPS Lead: Steven C. Fradkin/OLYM

Development Schedule, Budget, Expected Interim Products:

Protocols from existing large NPS, regional and national large lake monitoring programs are being adapted for NCCN goals and objectives. Several of these protocols have required field research at OLYM for successful adaptation. A draft large lowland lake protocol that meets NPS standards (Oakley et al. 2003) will be ready for external peer review by December 1, 2005. An estimated budget based on initial implementation at OLYM for Lake Crescent only appears below in Table 3. Two budget columns are present, one illustrating the full implementation cost of the protocol and the other illustrating the amount committed to protocol implementation by the NCCN via NPS-Water Resources Division funds set aside for monitoring of pristine and impaired waters. The difference between the full implementation cost and the NCCN commitment is almost entirely staff time and will be covered by OLYM staff.

Table 3: Large Lake Protocol Budget

| Personnel - lake sampling | Job component | PP | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------------|
| | | PP | Cost |
| GS5 seasonal | data collection (24 days) | 3 | 3,180 |
| | | 3 | \$3,180 |
| | | | |
| Personnel - Zooplankton analysis | | | |
| GS5 | Sample analysis | 2 | 2120 |
| GS12 | data analysis and reporting | | |

2 \$2,120

Services

Water Analysis - nutrients, ions, DOC,
(2 location x 3sample/loc x 4 quarters = 24 samples)
24 samples * \$240/sample= \$5,760

\$5,760

Supplies and Equipment

(nutrient probes, standard solutions, sampling bottles, Misc. field gear)

\$1,260

GRAND TOTAL =

\$12,320

* Salaries based on 2005 OLYM tables